1	MELINDA HAAG (CASBN 132612) United States Attorney	
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)	
3	Chief, Criminal Division	
4	JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI (ILSBN 6273413) Assistant United States Attorney	
5	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900	
6	San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061	
7	Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 E-Mail: joseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for the United States	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 08-00114 JW
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPERTY OF THE
15	v.	ORDER RE: EXTENSIÓN OF TIMÉ FOR FILING RESPONSE TO
16	SHERRY GEHRUNG,	DEFENDANT'S MOTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 2255
17 18	Defendant.	
19	On November 10, 2000, this Court issued on Order Requesting Priofing from	
20	On November 19, 2009, this Court issued an Order Requesting Briefing from	
21	Government directing the United States to file a Response to Defendant Sherry Gehrung's Section 2255 motion. Defendant Gehrung's Section 2255 motion claims that she	
22	received ineffective assistance of counsel in connection with the determination of	
23	restitution and that she is entitled to a credit on the amount of restitution she owes. The	
24	United States' response to defendant Gehrung's Section 2255 motion was due on	
25	September 21, 2010.	
26	The parties now jointly request that the government's due date for a response to	
27	defendant Gehrung's Section 2255 motion be extended until November 5, 2010. In an	
28	effort to eliminate unnecessary litigation, the parties are currently conferring about	
_	in the contract of the contrac	r range and therefore the same account

-1-

[PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 08-00114 JW

## Case 5:08-cr-00114-JW Document 61 Filed 10/05/10 Page 2 of 2

1	whether the restitution-related issues defendant Gehrung raises in her Section 2255	
2	motion are amendable to resolution. The parties have made progress toward a potential	
3	resolution of the issues raised in defendant Gehrung's Section 2255 motion. As part of	
4	this process, the government has both conferred with law enforcement regarding the	
5	claims Gehrung has made in her Section 2255 motion, as well as also conferring with the	
6	victim-company in this case to obtain their input regarding a proposed resolution.	
7	In light of the above, the parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:	
8	1. The government's due date for a response to defendant Gehrung's Section 2255	
9	motion is extended until November 5, 2010.	
10	This is the Government's final continuance.	
11	STIPULATED:	
12	DATED: 10/4/10 /s/ JOHN HALLEY	
13	Counsel for Defendant Gehrung	
14		
15	DATED: 10/4/10 /s/ JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI	
16	Assistant United States Attorney	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	DATED: October 5, 2010	
19	NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		